

# Mathematical statistics

October 20<sup>th</sup>, 2018

## Lecture 17: Tests of Hypotheses

# Overview

---

<b>Week 1</b> .....	•	Probability reviews
<b>Week 2</b> .....	•	Chapter 6: Statistics and Sampling Distributions
<b>Week 4</b> .....	•	Chapter 7: Point Estimation
<b>Week 7</b> .....	•	Chapter 8: Confidence Intervals
<b>Week 10</b> .....	•	<b>Chapter 9: Tests of Hypotheses</b>
<b>Week 14</b> .....	•	Regression

---

## 9.1 Hypotheses and test procedures

- test procedures
- errors in hypothesis testing
- significance level

## 9.2 Tests about a population mean

## 9.4 P-values

## 9.3 Tests concerning a population proportion

## 9.5 Selecting a test procedure

## Section 9.1: Hypotheses and test procedures

- null hypothesis
- alternative hypothesis
- test statistic
- rejection region
- type I error
- type II error

# Statistical hypotheses

# A statistical hypothesis

is a claim or assertion either about

- the value of a single parameter [Chapter 9]
- the values of several parameters [Chapter 10]
- the form of an entire probability distribution [Chapter 13]

# Hypothesis testing

In any hypothesis-testing problem, there are two contradictory hypotheses under consideration

- The null hypothesis, denoted by  $H_0$ , is the claim that is initially assumed to be true
- The alternative hypothesis, denoted by  $H_a$ , is the assertion that is contradictory to  $H_0$ .
- The null hypothesis will be rejected in favor of the alternative hypothesis only if sample evidence suggests that  $H_0$  is false.
- If the sample does not strongly contradict  $H_0$ , we will continue to believe in the probability of the null hypothesis.

# Hypothesis testing

The two possible conclusions from a hypothesis-testing analysis are then

- reject  $H_0$  or
- fail to reject  $H_0$



# Hypothesis testing: an analogy

In a criminal trial, there are two contradictory assertions

- the accused individual is innocent
- the accused individual is guilty

→ the claim of innocence is the favored or protected hypothesis

# Hypothesis testing: example

- suppose a company is considering putting a new additive in the dried fruit that it produces
- the true average shelf life with the current additive is known to be 200 days
- With  $\mu$  denoting the true average life for the new additive, the company would not want to make a change unless evidence strongly suggested that  $\mu$  exceeds 200
- Null hypothesis:

$$H_0 : \mu = 200$$

- Alternative hypothesis:

$$H_a : \mu > 200$$

# Hypothesis testing: example

Before agreeing to purchase a large order of polyethylene sheaths for a particular type of high-pressure oil-filled submarine power cable, a company wants to see conclusive evidence that the true standard deviation of sheath thickness is less than .05 mm.

# Hypothesis testing: example

- Before agreeing to purchase a large order of polyethylene sheaths for a particular type of high-pressure oil-filled submarine power cable, a company wants to see conclusive evidence that the true standard deviation of sheath thickness is less than .05 mm.
- Null hypothesis:

$$H_0 : \sigma = 0.05$$

- Alternative hypothesis:

$$H_a : \sigma < 0.05$$

# Implicit rules (of this chapter)

- $H_0$  will always be stated as an equality claim.
- If  $\theta$  denotes the parameter of interest, the null hypothesis will have the form

$$H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$$

where  $\theta_0$  is a specified number called the *null value* of the parameter.

# Implicit rules (of this chapter)

The alternative to the null hypothesis  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  will look like one of the following three assertions:

- $H_a : \theta > \theta_0$
- $H_a : \theta < \theta_0$
- $H_a : \theta \neq \theta_0$

# Hypothesis testing: example

- The drying time of a certain type of paint under specified test conditions is known to be normally distributed with mean value 75 min and standard deviation 9 min.
- Chemists have proposed a new additive designed to decrease average drying time.
- It is believed that drying times with this additive will remain normally distributed with  $\sigma = 9$ .
- Because of the expense associated with the additive, evidence should strongly suggest an improvement in average drying time before such a conclusion is adopted.
- Construct the null and alternative hypothesis.

## Test procedures



A test procedure is specified by the following:

- A test statistic  $T$ : a function of the sample data on which the decision (reject  $H_0$  or do not reject  $H_0$ ) is to be based
- A rejection region  $\mathcal{R}$ : the set of all test statistic values for which  $H_0$  will be rejected

The null hypothesis will then be rejected if and only if the observed or computed test statistic value falls in the rejection region, i.e.,  $T \in \mathcal{R}$

# Hypothesis testing: example

- The drying time of a certain type of paint follow  $\mathcal{N}(75, 9^2)$
- Chemists have proposed a new additive designed to decrease average drying time. It is believed that drying times with this additive follows  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, 9^2)$ .

$$H_0 : \mu = 75$$

$$H_a : \mu < 75$$

- Experimental data is to consist of drying times from  $n = 25$  test specimens:  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{25}$ .
  - My rule:
    - Compute  $\bar{x}$
    - If  $\bar{x} \leq 70.8$ , reject  $H_0$ . If not, fail to reject  $H_0$
- this is a test procedure

Given a test procedure, how do we quantify how good the test is?

## Errors in Hypothesis Testing

# Type I and Type II errors

- A type I error consists of rejecting the null hypothesis  $H_0$  when it is true
- A type II error involves not rejecting  $H_0$  when  $H_0$  is false.

# Type I error: example

- Test of hypotheses:

$$H_0 : \mu = 75$$

$$H_a : \mu < 75$$

- Experimental data is to consist of drying times from  $n = 25$  test specimens:  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{25}$ .
- My rule:
  - Compute  $\bar{x}$
  - If  $\bar{x} \leq 70.8$ , reject  $H_0$ .
- Question: What is the probability of type I error?

# Type I error: example

- Test of hypotheses:

$$H_0 : \mu = 75$$

$$H_a : \mu < 75$$

- $n = 25$ . My rule: If  $\bar{x} \leq 70.8$ , reject  $H_0$ .
- Question: What is the probability of type I error?

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= P[\text{Type I error}] \\ &= P[H_0 \text{ is rejected while it is true}] \\ &= P[\bar{X} \leq 70.8 \text{ while } \mu = 75] \\ &= P[\bar{X} \leq 70.8 \text{ while } \bar{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(75, 1.8^2)] = 0.01\end{aligned}$$

# Type II error: example

- Test of hypotheses:

$$H_0 : \mu = 75$$

$$H_a : \mu < 75$$

- $n = 25$ . My rule: If  $\bar{x} < 70.8$ , reject  $H_0$ .
- Question: What is the probability of type I error?

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(72) &= P[\text{Type II error when } \mu = 72] \\ &= P[H_0 \text{ is not rejected while it is false because } \mu = 72] \\ &= P[\bar{X} > 70.8 \text{ while } \mu = 72] \\ &= P[\bar{X} < 70.8 \text{ while } \bar{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(72, 1.8^2)] = 0.7486\end{aligned}$$

$$\beta(70) = 0.33, \beta(67) = 0.0174$$



# Practice

- Test of hypotheses:

$$H_0 : \mu = 75$$

$$H_a : \mu < 75$$

- Experimental data is to consist of drying times from  $n = 25$  test specimens:  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{25}$ .
- New rule:
  - Compute  $\bar{x}$
  - If  $\bar{x} \leq 72$ , reject  $H_0$ .
- Question: What is the probability of type I error?

$$\Phi(z)$$

$z$	.00	.01	.02	.03	.04	.05	.06	.07	.08	.09
0.0	.5000	.5040	.5080	.5120	.5160	.5199	.5239	.5279	.5319	.5359
0.1	.5398	.5438	.5478	.5517	.5557	.5596	.5636	.5675	.5714	.5753
0.2	.5793	.5832	.5871	.5910	.5948	.5987	.6026	.6064	.6103	.6141
0.3	.6179	.6217	.6255	.6293	.6331	.6368	.6406	.6443	.6480	.6517
0.4	.6554	.6591	.6628	.6664	.6700	.6736	.6772	.6808	.6844	.6879
0.5	.6915	.6950	.6985	.7019	.7054	.7088	.7123	.7157	.7190	.7224
0.6	.7257	.7291	.7324	.7357	.7389	.7422	.7454	.7486	.7517	.7549
0.7	.7580	.7611	.7642	.7673	.7704	.7734	.7764	.7794	.7823	.7852
0.8	.7881	.7910	.7939	.7967	.7995	.8023	.8051	.8078	.8106	.8133
0.9	.8159	.8186	.8212	.8238	.8264	.8289	.8315	.8340	.8365	.8389
1.0	.8413	.8438	.8461	.8485	.8508	.8531	.8554	.8577	.8599	.8621
1.1	.8643	.8665	.8686	.8708	.8729	.8749	.8770	.8790	.8810	.8830
1.2	.8849	.8869	.8888	.8907	.8925	.8944	.8962	.8980	.8997	.9015
1.3	.9032	.9049	.9066	.9082	.9099	.9115	.9131	.9147	.9162	.9177
1.4	.9192	.9207	.9222	.9236	.9251	.9265	.9278	.9292	.9306	.9319
1.5	.9332	.9345	.9357	.9370	.9382	.9394	.9406	.9418	.9429	.9441
1.6	.9452	.9463	.9474	.9484	.9495	.9505	.9515	.9525	.9535	.9545
1.7	.9554	.9564	.9573	.9582	.9591	.9599	.9608	.9616	.9625	.9633
1.8	.9641	.9649	.9656	.9664	.9671	.9678	.9686	.9693	.9699	.9706
1.9	.9713	.9719	.9726	.9732	.9738	.9744	.9750	.9756	.9761	.9767
2.0	.9772	.9778	.9783	.9788	.9793	.9798	.9803	.9808	.9812	.9817
2.1	.9821	.9826	.9830	.9834	.9838	.9842	.9846	.9850	.9854	.9857
2.2	.9861	.9864	.9868	.9871	.9875	.9878	.9881	.9884	.9887	.9890
2.3	.9893	.9896	.9898	.9901	.9904	.9906	.9909	.9911	.9913	.9916
2.4	.9918	.9920	.9922	.9925	.9927	.9929	.9931	.9932	.9934	.9936
2.5	.9938	.9940	.9941	.9943	.9945	.9946	.9948	.9949	.9951	.9952
2.6	.9953	.9955	.9956	.9957	.9959	.9960	.9961	.9962	.9963	.9964
2.7	.9965	.9966	.9967	.9968	.9969	.9970	.9971	.9972	.9973	.9974
2.8	.9974	.9975	.9976	.9977	.9977	.9978	.9979	.9979	.9980	.9981
2.9	.9981	.9982	.9982	.9983	.9984	.9984	.9985	.9985	.9986	.9986
3.0	.9987	.9987	.9987	.9988	.9988	.9989	.9989	.9989	.9990	.9990
3.1	.9990	.9991	.9991	.9991	.9992	.9992	.9992	.9992	.9993	.9993
3.2	.9993	.9993	.9994	.9994	.9994	.9994	.9994	.9995	.9995	.9995
3.3	.9995	.9995	.9995	.9996	.9996	.9996	.9996	.9996	.9996	.9997



# Type I error: example

- Test of hypotheses:

$$H_0 : \mu = 75$$

$$H_a : \mu < 75$$

- $n = 25$ . New rule: If  $\bar{x} \leq 72$ , reject  $H_0$ .
- Question: What is the probability of type I error?

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= P[\text{Type I error}] \\ &= P[H_0 \text{ is rejected while it is true}] \\ &= P[\bar{X} \leq 72 \text{ while } \mu = 75] \\ &= P[\bar{X} \leq 72 \text{ while } \bar{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(75, 1.8^2)] = 0.0475\end{aligned}$$

- Test of hypotheses:

$$H_0 : \mu = 75$$

$$H_a : \mu < 75$$

- Experimental data is to consist of drying times from  $n = 25$  test specimens:  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{25}$ .
- New rule:
  - Compute  $\bar{x}$
  - If  $\bar{x} \leq 72$ , reject  $H_0$ .
- Question: What are  $\beta(70)$ ?

$$\Phi(z)$$

$z$	.00	.01	.02	.03	.04	.05	.06	.07	.08	.09
0.0	.5000	.5040	.5080	.5120	.5160	.5199	.5239	.5279	.5319	.5359
0.1	.5398	.5438	.5478	.5517	.5557	.5596	.5636	.5675	.5714	.5753
0.2	.5793	.5832	.5871	.5910	.5948	.5987	.6026	.6064	.6103	.6141
0.3	.6179	.6217	.6255	.6293	.6331	.6368	.6406	.6443	.6480	.6517
0.4	.6554	.6591	.6628	.6664	.6700	.6736	.6772	.6808	.6844	.6879
0.5	.6915	.6950	.6985	.7019	.7054	.7088	.7123	.7157	.7190	.7224
0.6	.7257	.7291	.7324	.7357	.7389	.7422	.7454	.7486	.7517	.7549
0.7	.7580	.7611	.7642	.7673	.7704	.7734	.7764	.7794	.7823	.7852
0.8	.7881	.7910	.7939	.7967	.7995	.8023	.8051	.8078	.8106	.8133
0.9	.8159	.8186	.8212	.8238	.8264	.8289	.8315	.8340	.8365	.8389
1.0	.8413	.8438	.8461	.8485	.8508	.8531	.8554	.8577	.8599	.8621
1.1	.8643	.8665	.8686	.8708	.8729	.8749	.8770	.8790	.8810	.8830
1.2	.8849	.8869	.8888	.8907	.8925	.8944	.8962	.8980	.8997	.9015
1.3	.9032	.9049	.9066	.9082	.9099	.9115	.9131	.9147	.9162	.9177
1.4	.9192	.9207	.9222	.9236	.9251	.9265	.9278	.9292	.9306	.9319
1.5	.9332	.9345	.9357	.9370	.9382	.9394	.9406	.9418	.9429	.9441
1.6	.9452	.9463	.9474	.9484	.9495	.9505	.9515	.9525	.9535	.9545
1.7	.9554	.9564	.9573	.9582	.9591	.9599	.9608	.9616	.9625	.9633
1.8	.9641	.9649	.9656	.9664	.9671	.9678	.9686	.9693	.9699	.9706
1.9	.9713	.9719	.9726	.9732	.9738	.9744	.9750	.9756	.9761	.9767
2.0	.9772	.9778	.9783	.9788	.9793	.9798	.9803	.9808	.9812	.9817
2.1	.9821	.9826	.9830	.9834	.9838	.9842	.9846	.9850	.9854	.9857
2.2	.9861	.9864	.9868	.9871	.9875	.9878	.9881	.9884	.9887	.9890
2.3	.9893	.9896	.9898	.9901	.9904	.9906	.9909	.9911	.9913	.9916
2.4	.9918	.9920	.9922	.9925	.9927	.9929	.9931	.9932	.9934	.9936
2.5	.9938	.9940	.9941	.9943	.9945	.9946	.9948	.9949	.9951	.9952
2.6	.9953	.9955	.9956	.9957	.9959	.9960	.9961	.9962	.9963	.9964
2.7	.9965	.9966	.9967	.9968	.9969	.9970	.9971	.9972	.9973	.9974
2.8	.9974	.9975	.9976	.9977	.9977	.9978	.9979	.9979	.9980	.9981
2.9	.9981	.9982	.9982	.9983	.9984	.9984	.9985	.9985	.9986	.9986
3.0	.9987	.9987	.9987	.9988	.9988	.9989	.9989	.9989	.9990	.9990
3.1	.9990	.9991	.9991	.9991	.9992	.9992	.9992	.9992	.9993	.9993
3.2	.9993	.9993	.9994	.9994	.9994	.9994	.9994	.9995	.9995	.9995
3.3	.9995	.9995	.9995	.9996	.9996	.9996	.9996	.9996	.9996	.9997

- Test of hypotheses:

$$H_0 : \mu = 75$$

$$H_a : \mu < 75$$

- $n = 25$ . New rule: If  $\bar{x} \leq 72$ , reject  $H_0$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(70) &= P[\text{Type II error when } \mu = 70] \\ &= P[H_0 \text{ is not rejected while it is false because } \mu = 70] \\ &= P[\bar{X} > 72 \text{ while } \mu = 70] \\ &= P[\bar{X} < 72 \text{ while } \bar{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(70, 1.8^2)] = 0.1335\end{aligned}$$

## Proposition

*Suppose an experiment and a sample size are fixed and a test statistic is chosen. Then decreasing the size of the rejection region to obtain a smaller value of  $\alpha$  results in a larger value of  $\beta$  for any particular parameter value consistent with  $H_a$ .*



# Significance level

The approach adhered to by most statistical practitioners is

- specify the largest value of  $\alpha$  that can be tolerated
- find a rejection region having that value of  $\alpha$  rather than anything smaller
- the resulting value of  $\alpha$  is often referred to as the *significance level* of the test
- the corresponding test procedure is called a *level  $\alpha$  test*

# Significance level: example

- Test of hypotheses:

$$H_0 : \mu = 75$$

$$H_a : \mu < 75$$

- $n = 25$ . New rule: If  $\bar{x} \leq c$ , reject  $H_0$ .
- Find the value of  $c$  to make this a level 0.1 test