Mathematical techniques in data science

Lecture 10: Support Vector Machines

- Mathematical techniques in data sciences
  - A short introduction to statistical learning theory
  - SVM – the kernel trick
  - Linear regression – regularization and feature selection
  - Random forests — boosting and bootstrapping
- Algorithms and learning contexts
  - PCA and Manifold learning
  - Clustering
  - Selected topics

Support Vector Machines

- Maximal Margin Classifier
- Support Vector Classifiers
- Support Vector Machines

Hyperplane

- In a *p*-dimensional space, a hyperplane is an affine (linear) subspace of dimension $p - 1$.

- In two dimensions, a hyperplane is defined by the equation

$$\beta^{(0)} + \beta^{(1)} x^{(1)} + \beta^{(2)} x^{(2)} = 0$$

- In *p* dimensions:

$$\beta^{(0)} + \beta^{(1)} x^{(1)} + \beta^{(2)} x^{(2)} + \ldots + \beta^{(p)} x^{(p)} = 0$$

or alternatively

$$\beta^{(0)} + \beta^T x = 0, \quad \text{where } \beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$$

$$H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^p : \beta^{(0)} + \beta^T x = 0\}$$

If $x_1, x_2 \in H$, then $\beta^T(x_1 - x_2) = 0 \rightarrow \beta$ is perpendicular to the hyperplane H

If $x \in \mathbb{R}^p$, the distance from x to H can be computed by

$$d(x, H) = \frac{1}{\|\beta\|} |\beta^T(x - x_0)| = \frac{|\beta_0 + \beta^T x|}{\|\beta\|}$$

FIGURE 9.1. *The hyperplane $1 + 2X_1 + 3X_2 = 0$ is shown. The blue region is the set of points for which $1 + 2X_1 + 3X_2 > 0$, and the purple region is the set of points for which $1 + 2X_1 + 3X_2 < 0$.*

Separating hyperplane

Suppose we have data with label $\{-1, 1\}$, we want to separate the data using a hyperplane

$$y_i = \text{sign}(\beta^{(0)} + \beta^T x_i)$$

Separating hyperplane

Problems:

- Separating hyperplane may not exist
- Assume that the data are perfectly separable by a hyperplane
  $\rightarrow$ then there might exist an infinite number of such
  hyperplanes

Maximal Margin Classifier

Maximal Margin Classifier

- Assume that the data are perfectly separable by a hyperplane
- The minimal distance from the data to the hyperplane is call the *margin*
- Maximal margin hyperplane: the separating hyperplane that is farthest from the training observations

Maximal Margin Classifier: formulation

- Given a set of n training observations $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and associated class labels $y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$
- Maximal margin hyperplane:

$$\max_{\beta_0, \beta, M} M$$

$$\text{subject to } \|\beta\| = 1$$

$$\text{and } y_i(\beta^{(0)} + \beta^T x_i) \geq M \quad \forall i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Why?

- First, for every separating hyperplane, we want the classifier associated with the hyperplane to predict the labels correctly, or

$$y_i(\beta_0 + \beta^T x_i) \geq 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

- Second, we want the distance from the points to the hyperplane to be greater than the margin

$$\frac{|\beta^{(0)} + \beta^T x_i|}{\|\beta\|} \geq M$$

- If we constrain $\|\beta\| = 1$ then this becomes

$$y_i(\beta^{(0)} + \beta^T x_i) \geq M \quad \forall i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

- The idea of MMC is to find the separating hyperplane that maximizes the margin

$$\max_{\beta^{(0)}, \beta, M} M$$

subject to $\|\beta\| = 1$

and $y_i(\beta^{(0)} + \beta^T x_i) \geq M \quad \forall i = 1, \ldots, n.$

- If we remove the constraint $\|\beta\| = 1$ then the optimization problem becomes

$$\max_{\beta^{(0)}, \beta, M} M$$

subject to $y_i(\beta^{(0)} + \beta^T x_i) \geq M \|\beta\| \quad \forall i = 1, \ldots, n.$

$$\max_{\beta^{(0)}, \beta, M} M$$

subject to $y_i(\beta^{(0)} + \beta^T x_i) \geq M\|\beta\| \quad \forall i = 1, \ldots, n.$

- If we rescale $(\beta^{(0)}, \beta)$ such that $M\|\beta\| = 1$, then the optimization problem becomes

$$\min_{\beta^{(0)}, \beta} \|\beta\|^2$$

subject to $y_i(\beta^{(0)} + \beta^T x_i) \geq 1 \quad \forall i = 1, \ldots, n.$

- This is a convex optimization problem with a quadratic object and linear constraints

In this figure, we see that three training observations are equidistant from the maximal margin hyperplane and lie along the dashed lines indicating the width of the margin.

# Support Vector Classifiers

FIGURE 9.5. Left: *Two classes of observations are shown in blue and in purple, along with the maximal margin hyperplane.* Right: *An additional blue observation has been added, leading to a dramatic shift in the maximal margin hyperplane shown as a solid line. The dashed line indicates the maximal margin hyperplane that was obtained in the absence of this additional point.*

Support Vector Classifier

- Idea: willing to consider a classifier based on a hyperplane that does not perfectly separate the two classes
- Goals:
  - Greater robustness to individual observations
  - Better classification of most of the training observations

Support Vector Classifier

The hyperplane is chosen to correctly separate most of the training observations into the two classes, but may mis-classify a few observations

$$\max_{\beta^{(0)}, \beta, M, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_n} M$$

$$\text{subject to } \|\beta\| = 1$$

$$y_i(\beta^{(0)} + \beta^T x_i) \geq M(1 - \epsilon_i) \quad \forall i = 1, \ldots, n$$

$$\epsilon_i \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_i \leq C.$$

$$\max_{\beta^{(0)}, \beta, M, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_n} M$$

$$\text{subject to } \|\beta\| = 1$$

$$y_i(\beta^{(0)} + \beta^T x_i) \geq M(1 - \epsilon_i) \quad \forall i = 1, \ldots, n$$

$$\epsilon_i \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_i \leq C.$$

- $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n$ are refereed to as *slack variables*
- C can be regarded as a budget for the amount that the margin can be violated by the n observations

- $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n$ are refereed to as *slack variables*
- If $\epsilon_i = 0$, the ith observation is on the correct side of the margin
- If $\epsilon_i > 0$, the ith observation is on the wrong side of the margin
- If $\epsilon_i > 1$, the ith observation is on the wrong side of the separating hyperplane

- C can be regarded as a budget for the amount that the margin can be violated by the n observations
- If $C = 0$ then there is no budget for violations to the margin
  $\rightarrow \epsilon_i = 0$ for all i
  $\rightarrow$ maximal margin classifier
- Budget C increases $\rightarrow$ more tolerant of violations to the margin $\rightarrow$ margin will widen
- is a tunable parameter, usually chosen by cross-validation

SVC: alternative form

The hyperplane is chosen to correctly separate most of the training observations into the two classes, but may misclassify a few observations

$$\min_{\beta^{(0)}, \beta, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_n} \|\beta\|^2$$

$$\text{subject to } y_i(\beta^{(0)} + \beta^T x_i) \geq (1 - \epsilon_i) \quad \forall i = 1, \ldots, n$$

$$\epsilon_i \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_i \leq C.$$

Can be solved using standard optimization packages.

Support Vector Machine

$$f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$$

$$f(x, y) = (x, y, x^2 + y^2)$$

More rigorously,

$$f(x, y) = (x, y, x^2, y^2, xy)$$

A hyperplane on $\mathbb{R}^5$, modeled by the equation $\beta^{(0)} + \beta^T x = 0$ will classify the points based on the sign of

$$\beta^{(0)} + \beta^{(1)}x + \beta^{(2)}y + \beta^{(3)}x^2 + \beta^{(4)}y^2 + \beta^{(5)}xy$$

This corresponds to a quadratic boundary on the original space $\mathbb{R}^2$

How to solve SVM's optimization

Problem:

$$\min_{\beta_0, \beta} \|\beta\|^2$$

$$\text{subject to } y_i(\beta_0 + \beta^T x_i) \geq 1 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Alternative form

Lagrange multiplier:

$$L(\beta, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2}\|\beta\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i[y_i(\beta_0 + \beta^T x_i) - 1], \quad \text{where } \alpha_i \geq 0$$

New problem:

$$\min_{\beta} \max_{\alpha} L(\beta, \alpha)$$

Idea:

- Consider a game with two players, Mindy and Max,
- Mindy goes first, choosing β. Max, observing Mindy's choice, selects α to maximize $L(\beta, \alpha)$
- Mindy, aware of Max's strategy, makes her initial choice to minimize $L(\beta, \alpha)$

Minimax theory: for some class of functions:

$$\min_{\beta} \max_{\alpha} L(\beta, \alpha) = \max_{\alpha} \min_{\beta} L(\beta, \alpha)$$

Recall:

$$L(\beta, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \|\beta\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i [y_i(\beta_0 + \beta^T x_i) - 1], \quad \text{where } \alpha_i \geq 0$$

Question: Given α, what is the optimal value of β?

Recall:

$$L(\beta, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2}\|\beta\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i[y_i(\beta_0 + \beta^T x_i) - 1], \quad \text{where } \alpha_i \geq 0$$

Question: Given α, what is the optimal value of β?

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta^{(j)}} = \beta^{(j)} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i x_i^{(j)}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta_0} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i$$

Conclusion

$$\beta^* = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i x_i$$

Conclusion

$$\beta^* = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i x_i$$

Put this back into the expression of L:

$$\max_{\alpha \geq 0} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i x_i^T x_j,$$

Conclusion: To solve the MMC's optimization problem, we just need to have information about

$$x_i^T x_j = \langle x_i, x_j \rangle \quad \forall i, j$$

...back to SVM

$$f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$$

When mapping x to $f(x)$ in a higher dimensions, make sure you can compute

$$\langle f(x_i), f(x_j) \rangle \qquad \forall i, j$$

More rigorously,

$$f(x, y) = (x, y, x^2, y^2, xy)$$

A hyperplane on $\mathbb{R}^5$, modeled by the equation $\beta_0 + \beta^T x = 0$ will classify the points based on the sign of

$$\beta_0 + \beta^{(1)} x + \beta^{(2)} y + \beta^{(3)} x^2 + \beta^{(4)} y^2 + \beta^{(5)} xy$$

This corresponds to a quadratic boundary on the original space $\mathbb{R}^2$

Define
$$f(x, y) = (1, \sqrt{2}x, \sqrt{2}y, x^2, y^2, \sqrt{2}xy)$$

A hyperplane on $\mathbb{R}^6$, modeled by the equation $\beta_0 + \beta^T x = 0$ will classify the points based on the sign of

$$\beta_0 + \beta^{(1)} + \beta^{(2)}x + \beta^{(3)}y + \beta^{(4)}x^2 + \beta^{(5)}y^2 + \beta^{(6)}xy$$

This corresponds to a quadratic boundary on the original space $\mathbb{R}^2$

Moreover:

$$\langle f(x,y), f(u,v) \rangle = 1 + 2xu + 2yv + x^2u^2 + x^2v^2 + 2xyuv$$
$$= (1 + xu + yv)^2$$
$$= (1 + \langle (x,y), (u,v) \rangle)^2$$

In other the words,

$$K(x_i, x_j) = \langle f(x_i), f(x_j) \rangle = (1 + x_i^T x_j)^2$$

can be computed quite easily.

Recall that in order to solve the optimization of SVM on the original space, we need to optimize

$$\max_{\alpha \geq 0} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i x_i^T x_j,$$

If we want to do the same thing with the mapped data

$$\max_{\alpha \geq 0} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i K(x_i, x_j),$$

Bonus: we don't need to know the form of f at all!

The kernel trick

We don't need to know the form of f, only need

$$K(x, y) = \langle f(x_i), f(x_j) \rangle$$

Question: Given $K : \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^p$, when can we guarantee that

$$K(x, y) = \langle h(x_i), h(x_j) \rangle$$

for some function h?

Kernel: condition

Question: Given $K : \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^p$, when can we guarantee that

$$K(x, y) = \langle h(x_i), h(x_j) \rangle$$

for some function h?

Definition

Let X be a set. A symmetric kernel $K : X \times X \to R$ is said to be a positive definite kernel if the matrix

$$[K(x_i, x_j)]_{i,j=1}^n$$

is positive semi-definite for all $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e.

$$\sum_{i,j} K(x_i, x_j) c_i c_j \geq 0$$

for any $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

- Polynomials

$$K(x, u) = [1 + \langle x, u \rangle]^d$$

- RBF (Gaussian) kernels

$$K(x, u) = e^{-\gamma \|x - u\|^2}$$

- Neural network

$$K(x, u) = tanh(\kappa_1 \langle x, u \rangle + \kappa_2)$$

FIGURE 9.9. *Left: An SVM with a polynomial kernel of degree 3 is applied to the non-linear data from Figure 9.8, resulting in a far more appropriate decision rule. Right: An SVM with a radial kernel is applied. In this example, either kernel is capable of capturing the decision boundary.*